

OFFICIAL SELECTION UN CERTAIN REGARD FESTIVAL DE CANNES

OUTSIDE SATAN (IORS SATAN) BRUNO, DUMONT

PUBLICITY LE PUBLIC SYSTEME CINEMA

IN PARIS: 40, rue Anatole France - 92594 Levallois-Perret cedex - FRANCE Tel : +33 (0)1 41 34 20 32 / 21 09 IN CANNES: 13, rue d'Antibes - 4th floor - 06400 Cannes - FRANCE Tel: +33 (0)4 97 06 08 33/ 31 82 - Fax: +33(0)4 93 68 14 05 Bruno Barde Alexis Delage-Toriel : adelagetoriel@lepublicsystemecinema.fr Agnès Leroy : aleroy@lepublicsystemecinema.fr www.lepublicsystemecinema.fr

INTERNATIONAL SALES **PYRAMIDE INTERNATIONAL**

IN PARIS - 5, rue du Chevalier de St-George - 75008 Paris - FRANCE Tel: +33 (0)1 42 96 02 20 - F. +33 (0)1 40 20 05 51 - www.pyramidefilms.com IN CANNES - Riviera Stand F6 - Tel : +33 (0)4 92 99 32 17 Yoann Ubermulhin : yoann@pyramidefilms.com Lucero Garzon : lgarzon@pyramidefilms.com

PRODUCTION **3B PRODUCTIONS**

10 passage des taillandiers - 75011 Paris - FRANCE Tel: +33 (0)1 43 13 10 60 - contact@3b-productions.com





OFFICIAL SELECTION **UN CERTAIN REGARD** FESTIVAL DE CANNES

A FILM BY

RACHID BOUCHAREB, JEAN BREHAT ET MURIEL MERLIN PRESENT



BRUNO DUMONT

RUNNING TIME: 109 MN

www.horssatan.com

By the Channel, along the Côte d'Opale, near a hamlet with river and marshland lives a strange guy who struggles along, poaches, prays and builds fires. A girl from a local farm takes care of him and feeds him. They spend time together in the wide scenery of dunes and woods, mysteriously engaging in private prayer at the edge of the ponds, where the devil is prowling...



How did Outside Satan come about?

In the first shot of The Life of Jesus, vou could see a small hut made of corrugated iron, where a loner lived... I wanted to make a film about such a character. I started to write a story that took place there, in Bailleul, that is to sav in Flanders.

I've been around a lot while shooting Flanders and Hadewijch, and eventually felt I needed a change of scenery, I wanted to make a film that would be set in a single place. The character of a loner provided that stability, in a different territory, a place I am familiar with, given that I have been spending part of the year there since I was a child.

Where is that place?

On the Côte d'Opale, in the Pas de Calais, near Boulogne sur Mer. The script that I wrote is based on these landscapes, on that light, and on my wish to settle in that area. Landscapes really affect me. My films always start from a place, for its depiction. The landscapes that you see in the film are part of a protected land made of dunes and woods: theoretically public access is prohibited, which was quite complicated as far as the shooting process was concerned... Visually, that single place had a large and varied potential. I spent a lot of time walking in the area before I started writing. I chose the locations, and then I wrote the script. I need the power of nature to confer some intensity upon scenes in which very simple thinas are to happen.

This intensity results from the landscapes, but also from the filmmaking...

Indeed, the directing aims at exposing the strength that comes out of characters and situations which are often ordinary in themselves, or that could seem so if they were filmed differently ... This balance of power probably constitutes the very art of directing.

One of the essential dimensions of directina as an intensifying force lies in a particular use of sound, in a film where there is hardly any dialogue and no music at all.

We recorded only live and "mono" sounds. What you hear in the film are the actual sounds I knew David Dewaele. I had already shot recorded during the shooting. I didn't alter or two films with him - he had a small part in re-record them. I wish some noises weren't Flanders and Hadewijch. I wanted to give there, but I kept them anyway, stoically. him a leading role. I know how he behaves in Sometimes you can even hear the tracks of front of the camera. I wrote the part with him the camera dolly, or the lens focusing. I no in mind. But I didn't know Alexandra Lematre. longer work with a sound editor, and there I met her by chance in a café in Bailleul. We was no post-synchronisation whatsoever. The made a few screen tests, she was perfectly fine. I liked her sense of propriety, the way she sound material is very rich and untamed. Therefore, when there is a moment of silence. has difficulty sharing her feelings. you can feel it loud and clear.

You make very few takes, you make do with what happened in front of the camera, is

No, it doesn't work that way. I don't shape that right? my characters once and for all, I am open Not at all, I look for something that is guite to whatever may happen and of course to precise, and we do the scene as many times the input of the person who is going to play

as it takes. But it mostly has to do with the actors, barely with the technical aspects.

Who are the actors? Did you know them when you wrote the script?

Did she meet with your expectations for the part?



the part, to the transformations his or her presence and way of being are to bring about. Thus I also have to reconsider my own approach along the way.

But you are also quite demanding on the set?

Indeed, my approach to filmmaking has changed, it is more composed. I used to Yes. I am determined to obtain something think that the storyline and the characters specific that corresponds to the film as prevailed, that the shooting crew had to a whole. But my demands are always follow. I don't do this any more. It was congruent with what the actors give me, detrimental to the quality of shots. Good what comes from them. For the sake of acting calls for good filming. This time, the comparison, the actor is the colour, but I definition of what you would get to watch in the end was much more precise before choose the intensity of this colour. Before the shooting we talk and agree on what we each take - for instance, there were many are going to do together. Then, the actors marks on the ground to guide the actors' discover each morning what they are going movements. It changes the way they act, and to play exactly, but it always remains within they are "properly filmed", that is to say the the framework of what we have settled for. angles, the viewpoints are more complex. within the broad lines they are familiar with. I This way of shooting contributes to instilling don't take them by surprise. power into shots where something very trite may be happening.

In this film, you have radicalized your directing. Even more so than your previous films, Outside Satan is almost made entirely of very wide angle shots showing landscapes, and of close-ups.

Did vou decide on a particular visual idiom for this film?

Yes, I do that for all my films. The composition work is crucial, it must be felt by the audience and be part of the project in its own right. For Outside Satan, in addition to the alternating very wide angle shots / close-ups, I wanted to use lots of high-angle and low-angle shots. For the high-angle shots, we had four camera heights, 2 meters, 4 meters, 6 meters, 8 meters and that's all. Emotion must come out of camera positions at least as much as from what is said or done. The composition of frames - for instance the setting of the horizon - aives character to the subject. This stylistic approach was already that of Zola's novels or impressionist paintings: the idea that the subject must be simple, ordinary, that it is not what it is really about anyway. If something is happening, it is in the painting or writing (or indeed directing) performance.

This intensification results from your directing, but also from some excessive, disproportionate actions.

It is essential. If you show ordinary actions in a natural way, nothing happens. There must be some disproportion in the actions and in the acting of the actors, provided that this lack of balance means something, that it makes the viewers perceive something more than what they see at first sight. There must be some representation!

The film uses a strange stylistic device: you show several times a character looking at something, then you show what is in front of him or her, but that thing isn't what triggered the character's gaze...

Absolutely. It is an ellipse, not in the storyline but in the psychology of the characters. I think that today, the audience is able to fill in the blanks. If the movie shows everything, explains everything, describes

all the ins and outs, it loses energy and gets of representation that is mine, with live considerably heavier. I have faith in the sound, with specific camera angles and retrospective abilities of the audience, in positions, without using music... I can't the possibility to show something that you possibly parachute some "effect" out don't understand right away, but that will of nowhere, from some other aesthetic makes sense eventually. It provides a sense universe. For a long time I did think about of motion and intensity with regard to the how to remain within this framework. And film as a whole. Films that constantly take about how to shoot this situation in a way vou by the hand, that explain and justify that wasn't necessarily related to reliaion. everything, every step of the way, make me don't believe in God, and my film doesn't feel bored or depressed. A director has to demand any faith from the public besides play with what happens in the head of the the faith in cinema. Because to me cinema is what allows the extraordinary to be spectators, and trust them to enjoy such accommodated in the ordinary. It allows shifts. us to catch a glimpse of and to feel the divine in man. This is what makes cinema Outside Satan takes on one of the challenges that cinema has arappled akin to mysticism. Mysticism says "behold with since its birth, namely how to shoot a the earth and you shall see the sky". Well. miracle. This challenge you've taken on in a there you are, cinema can do that with all very special way. its devices. And you don't need a religion for that either.

I know that as I shoot I must abide by a few rules, which are those of the type At some point the man says, just after he shot the deer: "I shot without seeina". This sentence resonates like some kind of motto summarising filmmaking.

It's a hunter's sentence. If you see a lark in stationary flight, this means there's an animal down below. There is an invisible promise. And then you've got to act. At the beginning of the film, the auy says: "there's only one thing to do", and then, "we did what we had to do". These are concrete situations, with actual responses, without second thoughts.

For a while, the film was referred to as The Empire. Why did you change its title?

In fact, it was called Outside Satan from the beginning, but then at some point there was a doubt, and this title made things more complicated during shooting. Until the film is completed and can dovetail with the title, it's tough to justify it, it's tiresome. So during production we called it The Empire, which in

the script is the name of the place where the story occurs. In the end I took up the original title again, because it fits with the whole project.

The title conjures up novelist George Bernanos.

Rightly so. Through Bernanos I learnt that by peering into the ordinary, one sees the supernatural appear. To me, Zola and Bernanos are very much akin.

But this film isn't actually based on the expectation of some apparition resting on duration, which was one salient element in your previous films. By and large, here you have shorter shots, and the editing is different, with fewer sequence shots.

Yes, there's been a maturation of sorts, which means I rely less on duration. I discover how to reach my goals in different ways, which include framing and the actors' acting just as much as editing itself. In average, the

shots in this film are significantly shorter than place and "do what needs to be done". in my previous films. This is also the first film This "thing to do" is not moral but vital. It's I've edited sinalehandedly. I edited the first about grappling with the world, about the 20 minutes of Hadewiich, but this time around possibility of acting, not about preaching I really wanted to be fully in charge of the what is good or what is evil. The film doesn't process, whilst being helped by an editor. I take a moral stand, it only registers some wrote a great deal, I shot just as much, but actions. It goes beyond good and evil, in its then I deleted many things in the editing own way. And then, it all takes place deep process. I need all this material in order inside each and every one of us: what the to fine-tune the editing. Pretty much like a film strives to do is to elicit individual reactions sculptor needing a block of marble from from the public, during but above all after which to carve. the film, based on things experienced as you watched the film. I certainly don't want to be What if I tell you this is a film about good and a filmmaker with ideas to promote, what I evil... want to do is to shoot films based on feelings. I suppose this is the material I started from, banking on how feeling certain things, but anyway, this may be argued for any film, when faced with some landscapes, physical don't you think? Except that here this is not so presences or sounds, will have some effects much about a simplistic opposition but rather on the audience. It might bring about ideas about how one constructs one's relation to in them. But it's not up to me to suggest that the world, a relation in which good and evil or to tell the viewers what to think. This is rather do exist, and where the point is to find one's like an art of conversation, really.

I want to jump off the roof whenever an actor has too many things to say, or holds forth on something complex. I'd rather be raw. That's also why I don't want to make a whole lecture on the film's "message", what's important to me is how the viewer experiences the film as it is shown.

BRUNO DUMONT FILMOGRAPHY

OUTSIDE SATAN (2011) OFFICIAL SELECTION, UN CERTAIN REGARD SECTION, 2011 CANNES FILM FESTIVAL HADEWIJCH (2009) FIPRESCI INTERNATIONAL FILM CRITICS PRIZE, 2009 TORONTO FILM FESTIVAL FLANDERS (2006) GRAND PRIZE, 2006 CANNES FILM FESTIVAL, GOLDEN ANCHOR PRIZE, 2006 HAIFA FILM FESTIVAL TWENTYNINE PALMS (2003) OFFICIAL COMPETITION, 2003 VENICE FILM FESTIVAL HUMANITY (1999) 1999 CANNES FILM FESTIVAL, JURY GRAND PRIZE, BEST ACTRESS AWARD, BEST ACTOR AWARD THE LIFE OF JESUS (1997) CAMERA D'OR (Best first feature film) SPECIAL MENTION, 1997 CANNES FILM FESTIVAL, 1997 JEAN VIGO AWARD, NOMINATION FOR THE BEST FIRST FICTION FILM, 1998 CESAR AWARDS, 1996 GAN FOUNDATION AWARD, FIPRESCI FILM CRITICS PRIZE, 1997 CHICAGO FILM FESTIVAL, INTERNATIONAL FILM CRITICS PRIZE FOR THE BEST FEATURE FILM, 1997 SAO PAULO FILM FESTIVAL, SUTHERLAND TROPHY FOR THE BEST FIRST FEATURE FILM, 1997 LONDON FILM FESTIVAL, EUROPEAN BREAKTHROUGH FASSBINDER AWARD, 1997 EUROPEAN FILM AWARD, EUROPEAN FILM AWARD 1997





CAST

THE GUY DAVID DEWAELE THE GIRL ALEXANDRA LEMATRE THE MOTHER VALERIE MESTDAGH THE KID'S MOTHER SONIA BARTHELEMY THE KID JULIETTE BACQUET THE KEEPER CHRISTOPHE BON THE MAN WITH THE DOG DOMINIQUE CAFFIER THE BACKPACKER AURORE BROUTIN

CREW

SCREENPLAY, DIALOGUES, DIRECTOR BRUNO DUMONT

> EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS 3B PRODUCTIONS JEAN BREHAT

RACHID BOUCHAREB MURIEL MERLIN CINEMATOGRAPHER YVES CAPE AFC

SOUND PHILIPPE LECOEUR

EDITING BRUNO DUMONT – BASILE BELKHIRI

> SOUND MIXING EMMANUEL CROSET

LINE PRODUCER MURIEL MERLIN

PRODUCTION MANAGER CEDRIC ETTOUATI

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CLAUDE DEBONNET – CYRIL PAVAUX

> SCRIPT VIRGINIE BARBAY

COSTUMES ALEXANDRA CHARLES

MAKE-UP NATHALIE RIGAUT

PROPERTY MASTER MARTIN DUPONT- DOMENJOUD

> LOCATION MANAGER DELPHINE VERON

STILL PHOTOGRAPHER ROGER ARPAJOU PRODUCER 3B PRODUCTIONS

IN COPRODUCTION WITH CRRAV NORD-PAS DE CALLAIS

LE FRESNOY - STUDIO NATIONAL DES ARTS CONTEMPORAINS

> IN ASSOCIATION WITH CINEMAGE 5

WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF

CANAL+ CINECINEMA CONTACT FILM CENTRE NATIONAL DU CINEMA ET DE L'IMAGE ANIMEE (CNC)

WITH THE CONTRIBUTION OF LA REGION NORD – PAS DE CALAIS

PUBLICITY

LE PUBLIC SYSTEME CINEMA

THEATRE & VIDEO DISTRIBUTION FRANCE PYRAMIDE DISTRIBUTION

> INTERNATIONAL SALES PYRAMIDE INTERNATIONAL

FRANCE - 2011 - 35 MM - COLOR - FORMAT 2.35 SCOPE - SOUND DOLBY - 109 MN





C U





CINEMA CONTACT



